

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/824,796 | 04/14/2004 | Michael Kadan | 3802-123-27 | 6667 |
| 7590 11/17/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Supervisor, Patent Prosecution Services | | | LI, BAO Q | |
| PIPER RUDNICK LLP, 1200 Nineteenth Street, N.W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Washington, DC 20036-2412 | | | 1648 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/824,796 | KADAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| · | Bao Qun Li | 1648 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence address | | | | |
| Period for Reply | / 10 OFT TO EVOIDE / MONTH | (O) OD THIRTY (20) DAVO | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u> | <u>arch 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | • | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or € | plaction requirement | | | | | |
| o) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or to | siection requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | • | · • | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | • • | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | , ,, | ed. | | | | |
| | o, | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/824,796

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim 3, drawn to a HeLa-S3 cell comprising tumor specific replication-incompetent adenovirus vector having a mutation in E1a, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1.
 - II. Claim 4, drawn to a HeLa-S3 cell line comprising tumor specific replicationincompetent adenovirus vector having a mutation in E1b, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1.
 - III. Claims 5-17 and 19, drawn to a HeLa-S3 cell line comprising replication adenovirus vector comprising heterologous transcriptional regulatory element TRE operably linked to the E1 region and a heterologous sequence of GM-CSF, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1.
 - IV. Claims 20, drawn a method for a replication-competent adenovirus using a HeLa-S3 cell comprising a replication-competent adenovirus having E1a or E1b mutation, classified in class 435, subclass 91.4.
 - V. Claims 21-26, drawn to a method for producing a replication-competent adenovirus, wherein said adenovirus comprising a TER operably linked to the adenovirus early region and a heterologous coding sequence for GM-CSF, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- 2. Claim 2 link(s) inventions Group I and II. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 2. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction

Art Unit: 1648

requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Page 3

3. Claims 1 and 18 link(s) inventions Group I-II and III The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1 and 18. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 5. Inventions s of group I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the invention of group I and group II are directed to different host cells and cell lines comprising different adenovirus vectors. For example, the adenovirus in the group I comprising the complementary region of adenovirus E1a, whereas the host cell for group II should comprising the complementary region of E1b. Therefore, they have different patentabilities that require different searches.
- 6. Inventions s of group I or II and group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the invention of group I or group II with group III are directed to different host cells and cell lines comprising different adenovirus vectors. For example, the adenovirus in the group I comprising a tumor specific replication-competent adenovirus that having adenovirus early gene mutation, whereas the adenovirus contained in

Art Unit: 1648

group III contains a special transcriptional regulatory element operably linked to the adenovirus early region and a heterologous sequence of GM-CSF. Moreover, if the HeLa S3 cells for producing different adenovirus cited in group I and group III or group II and Group IIII because the particular cell for producing different adeovirus with different early gene deletion should contains different trans-complementary gene for the adenovirus. Therefore, they have different patentabilities that require different searches.

- 7. Inventions s of group IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the invention of group IV and group IV are directed to different methods for producing different adenoviruses with different ell lines. Moreover, if the HeLa S3 cells for producing different adenovirus cited in group IV and V because the particular cell for producing different adeovirus with different early gene deletion should contains different transcomplementary gene for the adenovirus. Therefore, they have different patentabilities that require different searches.
- 8. Inventions of Groups I or II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method for producing a replication competent adenovirus does not necessarily need to use the claimed HeLa S3 cell in group I, and they can be produced with other host cell, such as human HEK293 cell.
- 9. Inventions of Groups III and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method for producing a replication competent adenovirus does not necessarily need to use the claimed HeLa S3 cell in group I, and they can be produced with other host cell, such as human HEK293 cell.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/824,796

Art Unit: 1648

10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group s I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper, or group III not for group IV.

- 11. Applicants are reminded that in the Office Action the examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.
- 12. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. 13.
- 14. Claims 5 and 6 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising 1). The TRE is a TRE for hTERT, 3). The TRE is an osterocalcin TRE, 3). The TRE is a CEA TRE, 4). The TRE is a DF3 TRE, 5). The TRE is α -fetoprotein TRE, 6). The TRE is a

Art Unit: 1648

surfactant TRE, 7). The TRE is a tyrosinase TRE, 8). The TRE is a PRL-3 TRE, 9). The TRE is MUC1/DF3 TRE, 10). The TRE is a TK TRE, 11). The TRE is a cyclin TRE, 12). The TRE is a uPA TRE, 13). The TRE is a HER 2neu TRE, 13). The TRE is a PSA TRE and 14). A probasin TRE.

- 15. Claims 5 and 6 also are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising species for linked regions: a) E1a; b) E1b; c). E2a; d). E2b, and e). E4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.
- 16. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 17. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 18. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Qun'Li whose telephone number is 571-272-0904. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bao Qun L

11/11/2005